Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,402	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donna Jagoe	1614
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Donna Jagoe</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eugene Varndell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 July 2009	Time: <u>13:00</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 30 and 32-34		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Donna Jagoe/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
	TI F	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After an appeal conference it was decided that claims 30 and 32-34 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of claim 27. Mr. Varndell indicated that he would be in touch with inventors in Japan and call back as soon as the inventors reply. The rejection of claims 27-29, 35-40, 44 and 49 is maintained.